IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Case No. 05-cv-329-TCK-SAJ
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF PROPOSED CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF

COMES NOW Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma, and Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment, C. Miles Tolbert, in his capacity as the Trustee for Natural Resources for the State of Oklahoma under CERCLA ("the State"), and respectfully requests that this Court enter its proposed confidentiality order, attached as Exhibit A hereto. In support of its motion, the State states:

- 1. On August 19, 2005, the State filed its Amended Complaint against the Poultry Integrator Defendants, seeking to hold the Poultry Integrator Defendants accountable for their past and continuing improper management and disposal of poultry waste within Arkansas and Oklahoma conduct which has caused pollution of the Oklahoma portion of the Illinois River Watershed ("IRW").
- 2. On April 28, 2006, the parties exchanged their respective Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) disclosure statements. On May 26, 2006, the parties are scheduled to make the materials described on those Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) disclosure statements available for review. Further, discovery has commenced in this action.

- 3. It is anticipated that one or more of the parties may wish to designate documents containing information protected from disclosure by statute (i.e., sensitive personal information, trade secrets, or confidential research, development, or commercial information) as confidential, and have such documents protected by a confidentiality order. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(7).
- 4. While the State obviously does not object to the <u>appropriate</u> protection of documents that are <u>legitimately</u> entitled to protection by statute, it is critical to the smooth and efficient running of this litigation and the public interest, that there not be an over-designation of confidentiality claims.
 - 5. Indeed, as set forth in LCvR79.1(a):

It is the policy of this Court that sealed documents, confidentiality agreements, and protective orders are <u>disfavored</u>. Sealed documents and confidentiality agreements may be approved by the Court <u>only upon a showing that a legally protected interest of a party, non-party or witness outweighs the compelling public interest in <u>disclosure of records</u>. All protective orders dealing with confidentiality must be approved by a magistrate judge and filed of record.</u>

(Emphasis added.)

6. Attached as Exhibit A is the confidentiality order proposed by the State. This order is nearly verbatim from the standard confidentiality order approved for use by the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. *See* www.scd.uscourts.gov/DOCS/confidential/confidentialityorder.pdf. This proposed confidentiality order provides the following procedure for the designation of confidentiality:

Any party may designate documents as confidential but only after review of the documents by an attorney who has, in good faith, determined that the documents contain information protected from disclosure by statute, sensitive personal information, trade secrets, or confidential research, development, or commercial information. The certification shall be made concurrently with the disclosure of the documents, using the form attached hereto at Attachment A which shall be executed subject to the standards of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Information or documents which are available in the public sector may not be designated as confidential.

Any CONFIDENTIAL designation is subject to challenge. The following procedures shall apply to any such challenge.

- a. The burden of proving the necessity of a Confidential designation remains with the party asserting confidentiality.
- b. A party who contends that documents designated CONFIDENTIAL are not entitled to confidential treatment shall give written notice to the party who affixed the designation of the specific basis for the challenge. The party who so designated the documents shall have fifteen (15) days from service of the written notice to determine if the dispute can be resolved without judicial intervention and, if not, to move for an Order confirming the Confidential designation.
- c. Notwithstanding any challenge to the designation of documents as confidential, all material previously designated CONFIDENTIAL shall continue to be treated as subject to the full protections of this Order until one of the following occurs:
- (1) the party who claims that the documents are confidential withdraws such designation in writing;
- (2) the party who claims that the documents are confidential fails to move timely for an Order designating the documents as confidential as set forth in paragraph 8.b. above; or
- (3) the court rules that the documents should no longer be designated as confidential information.
- d. Challenges to the confidentiality of documents may be made at any time and are not waived by the failure to raise the challenge at the time of initial disclosure or designation.

Exhibit A, $\P 8.^1$ Finally, this proposed confidentiality order provides appropriate protection to materials legitimately designated as confidential. See Exhibit A, $\P \P 5, 6 \& 9$.

7. This proposed confidentiality order affords appropriate protection to legitimately confidential materials, but at the same time contains appropriate safeguards against abuses of claims of confidentiality. Further, it is straightforward and compliance would put no undue burdens on the parties. Finally, and most importantly, it is wholly in accord with the policies of this Court on confidentiality. Entry of this proposed confidentiality order will speed the

It is important to note that the burden of proving confidentiality never shifts from the party asserting the claim of confidentiality.

discovery process, as well as the ultimate trial of this matter.

8. The parties have attempted to arrive at an agreed upon confidentiality order. The parties, however, have been unable to reach an agreement. Accordingly, counsel for the State is informed that the Poultry Integrator Defendants object to this motion.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the State respectfully requests that this Court enter the proposed confidentiality order attached as Exhibit A hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson (OBA #2628) Attorney General Kelly H. Burch (OBA #17067) J. Trevor Hammons (OBA #20234) Assistant Attorneys General State of Oklahoma 2300 North Lincoln Boulevard Suite 112 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

s/ M. David Riggs
M. David Riggs (OBA #7583)
Joseph P. Lennart (OBA #5371)
Richard T. Garren (OBA #3253)
Douglas A. Wilson (OBA #13128)
Sharon K. Weaver (OBA #19010)
Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

May 10, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 10th day of May, 2006, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants.

- Jo Nan Allen
 - jonanallen@yahoo.com bacaviola@yahoo.com
- Frederick C Baker
 - fbaker@motleyrice.com mcarr@motleyrice.com;fhmorgan@motleyrice.com
- Tim Keith Baker
 - tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net
- Douglas L Boyd
 - dboyd31244@aol.com
- Vicki Bronson
 - vbronson@cwlaw.com lphillips@cwlaw.com
- Paula M Buchwald
 - pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com loelke@ryanwhaley.com
- Louis Werner Bullock
 - LBULLOCK@MKBLAW.NET
 - NHODGE@MKBLAW.NET;BDEJONG@MKBLAW.NET
- Lloyd E Cole, Jr
 - colelaw@alltel.net gloriaeubanks@alltel.net;amy_colelaw@alltel.net
- Angela Diane Cotner
 - AngelaCotnerEsq@yahoo.com
- John Brian DesBarres
 - mrjbdb@msn.com JohnD@wcalaw.com
- W A Drew Edmondson
 - fc docket@oag.state.ok.us
 - drew edmondson@oag.state.ok.us;suzy thrash@oag.state.ok.us.
- Delmar R Ehrich
 - dehrich@faegre.com kcarney@faegre.com;;qsperrazza@faegre.com
- John R Elrod
 - jelrod@cwlaw.com vmorgan@cwlaw.com
- William Bernard Federman
 - wfederman@aol.com law@federmanlaw.com;ngb@federmanlaw.com
- Bruce Wayne Freeman
 - bfreeman@cwlaw.com lcla@cwlaw.com
- Ronnie Jack Freeman
 - ifreeman@grahamfreeman.com
- Richard T Garren
 - rgarren@riggsabney.com dellis@riggsabney.com

• Dorothy Sharon Gentry

sgentry@riggsabney.com jzielinski@riggsabney.com

• Robert W George

robert.george@kutakrock.com donna.sinclair@kutakrock.com

• Tony Michael Graham

tgraham@grahamfreeman.com

• James Martin Graves

jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com

• Michael D Graves

mgraves@hallestill.com jspring@hallestill.com;smurphy@hallestill.com

• Thomas James Grever

tgrever@lathropgage.com

• Jennifer Stockton Griffin

jgriffin@lathropgage.com

Carrie Griffith

griffithlawoffice@yahoo.com

• John Trevor Hammons

thammons@oag.state.ok.us

Trevor Hammons@oag.state.ok.us;Jean Burnett@oag.state.ok.us

• Michael Todd Hembree

hembreelawl@aol.com traesmom_mdl@yahoo.com

• Theresa Noble Hill

thillcourts@rhodesokla.com mnave@rhodesokla.com

• Philip D Hixon

Phixon@jpm-law.com

Mark D Hopson

mhopson@sidley.com dwetmore@sidley.com;joraker@sidley.com

• Kelly S Hunter Burch

fc.docket@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us;jean_burnett@oag.state.ok.us

• Stephen L Jantzen

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com mar.tene@ryanwhaley.com;loelke@ryanwhaley.com

• Mackenzie Lea Hamilton Jessie

maci.tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net;macijessie@yahoo.com

• Bruce Jones

bjones@faegre.com jintermill@faegre.com;bnallick@faegre.com

• Jay Thomas Jorgensen

jjorgensen@sidley.com noman@sidley.com

• Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee

kklee@faegre.com mlokken@faegre.com

· Raymond Thomas Lay

rtl@kiralaw.com dianna@kiralaw.com;niccilay@cox.net

• Nicole Marie Longwell

Nlongwell@jpm-law.com ahubler@jpm-law.com

• Dara D Mann

dmann@feegre.com kolmscheid@faegre.com

• Linda C Martin

lmartin@dsda.com mschooling@dsda.com

• Archer Scott McDaniel

Smcdaniel@jpm-law.com jwaller@jpm-law.com

• Robert Park Medearis, Jr

medearislawfirm@sbcglobal.net

• James Randall Miller

rmiller@mkblaw.net smilata@mkblaw.net;clagrone@mkblaw.net

• Robert Allen Nance

rnance@riggsabney.com jzielinski@riggsabney.com

• John Stephen Neas

steve neas@yahoo.com

• George W Owens

gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com ka@owenslawfirmpc.com

David Phillip Page

dpage@mkblaw.net smilata@mkblaw.net

K Clark Phipps

ECF@ahn-law.com cphipps@ahn-law.com

Marcus N Ratcliff

mratcliff@lswsl.com sshanks@lswsl.com

• Robert Paul Redemann

rredemann@pmrlaw.net scouch@pmrlaw.net

Melvin David Riggs

driggs@riggsabney.com pmurta@riggsabney.com

Randall Eugene Rose

rer@owenslawfirmpc.com ka@owenslawfirmpc.com

• Patrick Michael Ryan

pryan@ryanwhaley.com jmickle@ryanwhaley.com;kshocks@ryanwhaley.com

• Robert E Sanders

rsanders@youngwilliams.com

• David Charles Senger

dsenger@pmrlaw.net scouch@pmrlaw.net

• Jennifer Faith Sherrill

ifs@federmanlaw.com law@federmanlaw.com;ngb@federmanlaw.com

• William Francis Smith

bsmith@grahamfreeman.com

• Colin Hampton Tucker

chtucker@rhodesokla.com scottom@rhodesokla.com

• John H Tucker

jtuckercourts@rhodesokla.com

• R Pope Van Cleef, Jr

popevan@robertsonwilliams.com

kirby@robertsonwilliams.com;kmo@robertsonwilliams.com

• Kenneth Edward Wagner

kwagner@lswsl.com sshanks@lswsl.com

• David Alden Walls

wallsd@wwhwlaw.com lloyda@wwhwlaw.com

• Elizabeth C Ward

lward@motleyrice.com

• Sharon K Weaver

sweaver@riggsabney.com msmith@riggsabney.com

• Timothy K Webster

twebster@sidley.com jwedeking@sidley.com;ahorner@sidley.com

• Gary V Weeks

gweeks@bassetlawfirm.com

• Adam Scott Weintraub

adlaw@msn.com

• Terry Wayen West

terry@thewestlawfirm.com

• Dale Kenyon Williams, Jr

kwilliams@hallestill.com jspring@hallestill.com;smurphy@hallestill.com

• Edwin Stephen Williams

steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

• Douglas Allen Wilson

Doug Wilson@riggsabney.com pmurta@riggsabney.com

• J Ron Wright

ron@wsfw-ok.com susan@wsfw-ok.com

• Lawrence W Zeringue

lzeringue@pmrlaw.net scouch@pmrlaw.net

I further certify that on this 10th day of May, 2006, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing will be mailed via first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

Jim Bagby

RR 2, Box 1711 Westville, OK 74965

Gordon W. Clinton

23605 S GOODNIGHT LN WELLING, OK 74471

Susann Clinton

23605 S GOODNIGHT LN WELLING, OK 74471

Eugene Dill

P O BOX 46 COOKSON, OK 74424

Marjorie Garman

5116 Highway 10 Tahlequah, OK 74464

James C Geiger

RT 1 BOX 222 KANSAS, OK 74347

Thomas C Green

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K ST NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005

G Craig Heffington

20144 W SIXSHOOTER RD COOKSON, OK 74427

John E. and Virginia W. Adair Family Trust

RT 2 BOX 1160 STILWELL, OK 74960

Dorothy Gene Lamb

Route 1, Box 253 Gore, OK 74435

James Lamb

Route 1, Box 253 Gore, OK 74435

Doris Mares

P O BOX 46 COOKSON, OK 74424

Teresa Brown Marks

323 CENTER ST STE 200 LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201

Charles L Moulton

323 CENTER ST STE 200 LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201

William H Narwold

Motley Rice LLC (Hartford) 20 CHURCH ST 17TH FLR HARTFORD, CT 06103

Donna S Parker

34996 S 502 RD PARK HILL, OK 74451

Richard E Parker

34996 S 502 RD PARK HILL, OK 74451

Monte W Strout

209 W Keetoowah Tahlequah, OK 74464

C Miles Tolbert

Secretary of the Environment State of Oklahoma 3800 NORTH CLASSEN OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73118

Robin L. Wofford

Rt 2, Box 370 Watts, OK 74964

s/ M. David Riggs